	1			
1	Christopher B. Hockett (SBN 121539) Neal A. Potischman (SBN 254862) Sandra West (SBN 250389)			
2				
3	Samantha H. Knox (SBN 254427) Micah G. Block (SBN 270712)			
4	DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP 1600 El Camino Real			
5	Menlo Park, California 94025 Telephone: (650) 752-2000			
6	Facsimile: (650) 752-2111			
7	Jonathan D. Martin (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Bradley R. Hansen (<i>pro hac vice</i>)			
8	DAVIŠ POLK & WARDWELL LLP 450 Lexington Avenue			
9	New York, New York 10017 Telephone: (212) 450-4000			
10	Facsimile: (212) 701-5800			
11	Attorneys for Defendants Chimei Innolux Corporation (f/k/a Chi Mei			
12	Optoelectronics Corp.), Chi Mei Optoelectroni USA, Inc., and CMO Japan Co., Ltd.	ics		
13	oon, me., and one supun co., Liu.			
14	IMITED OF A TEC DISTRICT COURT			
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
J	SAN FRANCISCO DI VISION			
16	IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION) CASE NO. 3:10-cv-3517		
17) MDL NO. 3:07-md-1827-SI		
18	This Document Relates to Individual Case No. 3:10-cv-3517-SI)		
19	STATE OF FLORIDA,) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]		
20	Plaintiffs,	ORDER REGARDING TIME TORESPOND TO AMENDED COMPLAINT		
21	v.)		
22	AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION, et al.,)		
23	Defendant)		
1	Defendants.)		
24	Defendants.	<u>}</u>		
2425	Defendants.	_}		
	Defendants.	_}		
25	Defendants.	_}		

The undersigned counsel, on behalf of their respective clients, hereby respectfully request an extension of the deadline for Defendants Chimei Innolux Corporation (f/k/a Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corporation), Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc., and CMO Japan Co., Ltd. (collectively, the "Chi Mei Defendants"), and Defendants Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi Displays, Ltd., and Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc. (collectively, the "Hitachi Defendants"), to respond to the amended complaint filed by Plaintiff State of Florida on April 13, 2011, in the above-captioned litigation (the "Amended Complaint").

WHEREAS the Chi Mei Defendants and Hitachi Defendants, jointly with other Defendants in this action, filed a motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint on May 20, 2011:

WHEREAS the Court entered an order denying Defendants' joint motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint on September 15, 2011;

WHEREAS Defendants' current deadline to answer the Amended Complaint is September 29, 2011;

WHEREAS Plaintiff State of Florida and the Chi Mei Defendants have agreed to a settlement in principle of the above-captioned litigation;

WHEREAS Plaintiff State of Florida and the Hitachi Defendants have agreed to a settlement in principle of the above-captioned litigation, subject to board approval;

WHEREAS the parties would benefit from additional time to continue to negotiate the terms of that settlement;

WHEREAS extending the time for the Chi Mei Defendants and Hitachi Defendants to answer the Amended Complaint would not alter the date of any other event or deadline already fixed by the Court;

THEREFORE, Plaintiff State of Florida and the Chi Mei Defendants and Hitachi Defendants, by their respective counsel, stipulate and agree as follows:

The Chi Mei Defendants and Hitachi Defendants will have until October 28, 2011 to answer the Amended Complaint.

1 2	Dated:	September 27, 2011	Respectfully submitted,
			OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE
3			STATE OF FLORIDA
4			
5			By: /s/ Nicholas J. Weilhammer
6			Nicholas J. Weilhammer
7			R. Scott Palmer Lizabeth A. Brady
8			Nicholas J. Weilhammer (pro hac vice)
9			Eli Friedman Office of the Attorney General
10			State of Florida PL-01, The Capitol
11			Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050
12			(850) 414-3300 / (850) 488-9134 nicholas.weilhammer@myfloridalegal.com
13			
14			DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP
15			
16			By: /s/ Sandra West
			Sandra West
17			Christopher B. Hockett (SBN 121539)
18			Neal A. Potischman (SBN 254862) Sandra West (SBN 250389)
19			1600 El Camino Real
20	ļ		Menlo Park, CA 94025 (650) 752-2000 / (650) 752-2111
21			chris.hockett@davispolk.com
22			neal.potischman@davispolk.com sandra.west@davispolk.com
23			Attorneys for Defendants Chimei Innolux
24			Corporation (f/k/a Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corp.),
25			Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc., and CMO Japan Co., Ltd.
26			
27			
28			
20			2
	G======		

MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP /s/ Kent M. Roger By: Kent M. Roger Kent M. Roger (SBN 95987) One Market, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 442-1001 Facsimile: (415) 442-1001 Attorney for Defendants Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi Displays, Ltd., and Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc.

Case 3:07-md-01827-SI Document 3753 Filed 09/29/11 Page 5 of 6

1	Pursuant to General Order 45, Part X-B, the filer attests that concurrence in the filing of this			
2	document has been obtained from all parties whose signatures are indicated by a "confirmed"			
3	signature (/s/) within this e-filed document.			
4				
5	Dated: September 27, 2011			
6	Sandra West (SBN 250389)			
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
- 11	4			

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING TIME TO RESPOND TO AMENDED COMPLAINT CASE NO. 3:10-cv-3517; MDL NO. 1827

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER
2	Having considered the foregoing stipulation, and for good cause appearing,
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	
5	Dated:9/29, 2011
6	The Honorable Susan Illston
7	United States District Judge
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
8	
9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
.5	
6	
7	
8	5
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING TIME TO RESPOND TO AMENDED COMPLAINT CASE No. 3:10-cv-3517; MDL No. 1827